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Abstract: Effects of intraperitoneally administered dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker-nifedipine (NIF) 5 mg/kg and diphenylhydantoin (DPH) 5 mg/kg were studied on hippocampal kindling and maximum electro shock thresholds (MEST). All the NIF injected rats showed complete suppression of behavioral seizure after the 3rd injection. Few of the DPH rats had increased epileptic activity for two days and others - absence of Grade - 5 seizure after the 5th DPH injection. However, all showed partial seizure suppression subsequently. Neither NIF nor DPH could suppress the after discharge (AD). MEST were not affected by NIF although DPH showed a complete suppression of posterior limb extensor tone in all the rats.
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INTRODUCTION

It is documented that calcium influx is involved in epileptogenesis (1, 2) that is probably evolving through voltage operated calcium channels. Various calcium channel blockers have been tried with this in view using varieties of experimental models of epilepsy (3,4,5). However, there are isolated reports using nifedipine (NIF) and hippocampal kindling as a model (6). This requires an urgent inquiry because kindling is a robust form of epilepsy that cannot be ordinarily controlled with conventional anti epileptics (7). The present study was designed to probe into the effect of NIF as against standard dose of diphenylhydantoin (DPH) on mature kindling and maximum electro shock seizure (MES) testing. Twelve served as control subjects for the kindling and MEST trials (6 each).

METHODS

Animals: Thirty six male Wistar albino rats weighing between 150-165 g, 10 to 12 weeks of age were housed individually in the animal house with ad lib access to food and water. Following a week’s handling they were divided randomly into two groups of 12 in each. One group was for kindling trials and the other for maximum electroshock threshold (MEST)
Recording: Seizure AD were recorded using a Polyrite (Medicare System - Ambala) using a custom made switch that would interrupt the recorder from the stimulator when the stimulation was ON. Grading of kindling was done according to Racine and Pinel (10,11), and was considered complete on getting Grade-5 seizures on five successive occasions (Fig. 1).

MEST: Twelve subjects were pre-tested for posterior limb extensor tone on administering a MEST. Shocks were administered bitemporally through ear-clip electrodes smeared with 0.6% saline using a Techno Electro Convulsimeter. The MEST was estimated using 60 Hz stimulus of 0.2 sec starting with 36 mA and stepping up by 3 mA every 10 minutes till a Grade-5 seizure (posterior limb extensor tone) was elicited on 3 successive days. Grading was done according to (12). The various drugs were administered for a period of 15 days and the rats tested for seizures with MEST.

Drugs

NIF (5 mg/kg) was injected intraperitoneally twice daily as an infusion made in 40% Polyethylene glycol (400) in 0.9% saline. The solution was made from capsules of Calcigard (Torent Lab.) in a dark wall chamber covered with aluminium foil and the injection syringe was covered with aluminium foil to avoid any form of light influencing the biological activity of NIF.
DPH (5 mg/kg) was injected intraperitoneally directly from a 50 mg ampoule (Eptoin - Cadila Lab.) twice daily.

Control rats were injected 0.5 ml of 0.9% saline.

Histopathology: After the study, kindled rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate and perfused through the heart with 10% formalin in physiological saline. The brain was sliced and stained with cresyl violet to locate the electrode track (Fig. 2).

RESULTS

Kindling: By 10 days, all the rats had reached full kindling with the stimulus pattern used.

Behavioral aspects: On injecting the 3rd dose of NIF there was a complete absence of behavioral seizures (Table I) except occasional whisker tremors. First and second injections had no effect. DPH injected rats did not show any action for 3 days (5 doses). Following that, 4 rats became more epileptic and showed Grade-7 seizure (11) in that they had repeated Grade-5 seizures and became violent for 10-15 minutes post ictally. This state of increased activity lasted for 2 days. After that they became less epileptic by 3rd and 4th day. By the 5th day they had no behavioral seizure except whisker tremors and facial clonus (Grade-2) following injection. The other two DPH injected rats showed absence of Grade-5 seizures 3 days following the treatment. However, even these rats (like the other 4) had Grade-2 seizure during the treatment period of 15 days.

The control rats continued to get Grade-5 seizures. Five of these rats progressed to Grade-7 seizures within the injection period.

E.E.G. - After discharge (Fig. 1): Neither of the groups (NIF/DPH) showed any suppression of AD.

MEST: The mean (± SD) MEST of all rats was 48 mA before drugging. NIF injected rats did not show any change in the MEST. However, DPH injected rats had complete elimination of posterior limb extensor tone with the threshold stimulation. Three rats had Grade-1, two had Grade-2 and one had Grade-3 seizure 2-3 days following the injection. The controls continued to have posterior limb extensor tone with the same MEST.

DISCUSSION

In this study, NIF completely suppressed the behavioral seizure produced by hippocampal kindling although the after discharges remained unaffected. DPH on the contrary showed increased epileptic activity, as reported by others (7). However, limbic component of the seizure appeared unaffected by NIF or DPH in that the rats continued to show whisker tremors and facial clonus. After discharge was not influenced by either of the drugs. Suppression of behavioral seizures in absence of AD elimination, indicates that AD being a local phenomenon is robust and cannot be easily suppressed (14).

Studies with MEST, on the contrary, showed that NIF had no effect at all as against DPH, that completely eliminated seizure to a significant level. This indicates that the seizure mechanisms in two epileptic models are different. NIF may have its action only in a seizure that begins from a focus as in kindling and not one that is primarily generalized.
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