Mid-latency Auditory Evoked Potential Response Revealed as an Evidence of Neural Plasticity in Blind Individuals
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Abstract

There is a general impression that visually blind individuals show an exceptionally better perception of other sensory modalities such as hearing, touch and smell sensations. In this study, we intended to compare the mid-latency auditory evoked potential response (MLAEP) or Middle latency Response (MLR) to get an idea of the activity pattern of auditory thalamus and cortex between 30 visually handicapped subjects and 30 normal sighted subjects. The results showed a decrease in many of the MLR wave latencies, but highly significant for the wave Pa (P value <0.002). This fact can be reflected as an evidence of existence of cross-modal neuroplasticity. We also inferred that there are significant gender differences with latencies shorter in males than females (P value <0.02) in the blind subjects group which could be attributed to their rehabilitation training.

Introduction

Neuroplasticity is the capacity of the nervous system to reorganize itself as a consequence of normal development and maturation, during learning process, after damage to the nervous system or as a result of sensory deprivation (1). Cross modal plasticity is the neuroplasticity occurring as an adaptive mechanism to compensate for lost function and/or to maximize the remaining functions in the event of brain injury (2). There are number of studies providing experimental evidences for this compensatory plasticity in blind humans (3, 4, 5, 6). The younger the age of onset of blindness, the more plasticity the visual cortex seems to have (7). Such plastic changes vary widely across brain systems, giving rise to highly specific alterations as a function of the nature of altered experience, its timing and brain systems involved. Thus the possible mechanisms of cross-modal plasticity may be changes in the subcortical connectivity like in brainstem and thalamus or changes in the cortico-cortical feedback or in the long-range connections between the primary cortices (1). But these sensory changes seem to be driven by experience from peripheral activity rather than blindness per se. In our previous study (8), we recorded significantly shorter latency of wave V of Brainstem evoked response audiometry (BERA) in blind individuals when compared to the normal sighted
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subjects. The generators of BERA waves include Wave I and II from Cochlear Nerve and Nuclei, Wave III from Superior olivary nucleus, Wave IV from Lateral lemniscus and wave V from Inferior colliculus (9). In this study, we intended to compare the Mid-latency auditory evoked potential response (MLAEP) or Middle latency response (MLR) in blind subjects with that of normal sighted subjects. MLR is the transient response that occurs in the 10 to 50 milliseconds post-stimulus time period. The generators of MLR are located in the auditory thalamus ie. Medial geniculate body, Heschl gyrus (auditory cortex) and the thalamo-cortical projection areas (10). The various waveforms of MLR are No, Po, Na and Pa, out of which the wave Pa (also called P30), which is the positive peak occurring usually at 30 to 36 milliseconds post-stimulus period is of much clinical use. We studied the differences in the peak latencies and amplitude of Na-Pa wave between blind and normal sighted subjects.

Methods

Type of study

This is a comparative, cross-sectional study done in Institute of Physiology and Experimental Medicine, Madras Medical College, Chennai. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee (Ref:L.Dis.No.3798/P&D3/Ethics/GGH).

Subject selection

The study group consisted of 30 blind students (15 male and 15 female) of age ranging from 17 to 23 years, recruited from “The Blind student’s Graduate Association, Chennai” after getting informed and written consent. The mode of training for these students since their school hood period is Braille Method and auditory stimulation using audio cassettes. Students with any auditory pathology, known diabetes, long duration of anemia, mental retardation and those taking long term medications that may affect hearing are excluded from the study. Control group consisted of 30 age and sex matched students with normal vision who were in I, II and III year of MBBS. Same exclusion criteria were followed for the control group.

Methodology

The entire procedure was divided into history taking, examination of systems & ENT and recording of evoked potentials. It was done in the day time from 9.00 AM to 4.00 PM in the departments of ENT and Physiology.

History regarding the general details like name, age and address of the subjects, their educational status with mode of training, cause and duration of blindness, family history of blindness, auditory problems, diabetes mellitus and other co-morbid conditions were elicited in the study group subjects. Similar history except for details on blindness was elicited in control group subjects. Instead, they were enquired about any visual problems like refractive error.

Regular anthropometric measurements (height and weight) were taken in both groups. General physical examination, examination of cardiovascular system, respiratory system and central nervous system were done in both groups. Both the group subjects were then evaluated for any ENT pathology by tuning fork tests and otoscopic examination. If there was presence of wax, it was promptly dissolved by appropriate treatment.

Recording of evoked potentials – VEP and MLR

Both the groups of subjects were subjected to VEP and MLR. The apparatus used in our study is RMS EMG EP MARK II. The apparatus is set up as per the “Recommended standards for the clinical practice of Evoked Potentials” introduced in Guideline 9A: Guidelines on Evoked Potential, by American Society of Clinical Neurophysiology (11). The evoked potentials were recorded in a closed dark room free from external noise. The temperature of the room was maintained at 23°C–24°C throughout the procedure.

Assessment of vision by VEP

The subjects of the study group showed absence of perception of hand movements and perception of light. In addition, blindness was confirmed by absent Visual Evoked Potential (VEP) responses. In the
control group, visual acuity and VEP were done to ensure normal vision. The latency of P100 wave of VEP of the subjects of control group was found to be within normal limits.

Recording of middle latency response

The electrical montage used for testing is L: Cz–A1, R: Cz–A2, Ground: Fz. The nature of the stimulus to the test ear is a broad band click of 100 μs duration with the intensity of 85 dB at a stimulus rate of 11.1 clicks per second. The analysis time was set as 50 ms and included 1500 trials with two repetitions. The contra lateral ear was masked with white noise of 50-60 dB. The wave peaks of MLR namely, No (negative wave at around 10 ms), Po (positive wave at 10-15 ms), Na ((negative wave at 16-30 ms) and Pa (positive wave at 25-45 ms) were recorded (10). The amplitude of wave Na-Pa was also noted. Since the myogenic potentials offer a considerable contamination of MLR potentials, the subject is seated comfortably with neck completely relaxed.

Statistical analysis of data

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 16.0. The demographic data were statistically analyzed using one-way ANOVA. The results of MLR were analyzed using Student’s independent T test. P value of <0.05 is considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The demographic data, anthropometric data and details on the duration of blindness and peripheral training of blind subjects are given in Table I.

Table II shows the latencies and amplitude of MLR waveforms of all the subjects. On analysing the gender differences, in the study group, the latencies of waves Po and Na are significantly decreased in both ears with P value of 0.02 in the right ear and 0.003 in the left ear for the wave Po and p value of 0.0001 for the wave Na in both ears. However, in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I: The demographic and anthropometric data of the two groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of blindness (yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of training (yrs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are given in mean±SD
*Statistically significant (p=0.001) using One-way ANOVA.
#Statistically significant (p=0.005) using student independent t test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II: MLR wave latencies and amplitudes of all the subjects.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are given in mean±SD.
*Significant values on comparison between genders in the study (blind) group. P value <0.05.
#Significant values on comparison between study and control groups. P value <0.05.
control group, no such significant differences are observed.

On comparing the wave latencies between study and control groups (Fig. 2), the latencies of waves Po, Na and Pa are significantly reduced in the male study group (p values for right ear: Po – 0.03, Na – 0.003 and Pa – 0.0001 and left ear: Na – 0.05 and Pa – 0.001). Comparison of female study group with control group showed a highly significant decrease in the latency of the wave Pa (P value – 0.002 in the right ear and 0.001 in the left ear).

The amplitude of Na-Pa wave on analysis was not statistically significant when compared between the study and control groups and also between male and female subjects.

Discussion

Neuroplasticity is the life-long ability of the brain to reorganize neural pathways based on new experiences. It can be a normal process of learning and memory or may occur to compensate for a lost sensory function. The latter is coined as ‘Cross-modal plasticity’. These neuroplasticity changes usually involve neurons in the cortical level and its cortico-cortical connections. But it may also involve the subcortical centers and their connecting tracts (1).

In this study, we intended to relate the neuroplastic changes using Mid-latency response of auditory evoked potential as a tool between the blind and normal sighted subjects and also between both the genders.

On observing the demographic and anthropometric parameters (Table I), we observed there is significant difference (p value <0.001) in the height and weight of study group when compared to control group. This may be due to the differences in the socio-economic status between the subjects chosen.

Duration of blindness and peripheral training

The average duration of blindness was slightly higher in males, but was not statistically significant. Also, in all the subjects, blindness was due to peripheral
damage of the visual system and there were no additional neurological problems. Kujala T et al. (12) have also demonstrated in their study that plastic changes in neural populations involved in processing of auditory space following early loss of vision. Animal research by Volgyi et al. (13) had documented that the compensatory effect was much greater if deprivation occurred early in life. Grunewald et al. (14) had stated that during a sensitive period of early postnatal development extensive remodeling occurs in the blind via a succession of local synaptic changes. In support of this theory, auditory responses seem to depend on duration of sensory loss, experience and training. In our study, we have selected the subjects of the study group such that their average duration of blindness would be optimum to produce the plasticity changes, if any. Activation of the new functional connections of blind subjects is strongly task-related and training–induced (15). Synapses are dynamic structures, increasing and decreasing in their complexity and number with use and experience. The amount of blood flow and expression of molecules essential for the cortical development also depends on the activity of the particular neurons (16). The explanation of the cortical representation occurring in blind appears to be that, cortical connections of sensory units have extensive convergence and divergence with connections that can become weak with disuse and strong with use. Diana M. Kahn & Leah Krubitzer (2) in their study have postulated that the amount of cortical reorganization is greatly modified by peripheral innervations and activity patterns generated with use. A number of studies in adult mammalian neocortex also support this issue. In our study group, the mean duration of peripheral training (Braille method/auditory training) was 9.13±1.25 yrs in females and 12.18±2.6 years in males. The duration of training was significantly higher in males (p=0.005) which may be because of earlier rehabilitation training given to males.

**Gender differences**

Elena Amenedo and Fernando Dfaz (17) in their study showed that Pa latency was longer in males when compared to females. Another study by Tucker et al (18) also showed that Pa latencies were longer in male subjects, and Pa amplitudes were larger in female subjects. But our study has contradicted the above studies that males have shorter latencies of waves Po and Na, but only in the study group. The control group does not show any difference. We can attribute this inference to the significantly increased duration of peripheral training that the blind males have undergone. Thomas Elbert et al. (19), in their comparative study in blind and normal sighted individuals have found that ‘the development of use-dependent cortical reorganization may be a consequence of the absence of visual input in combination with enhanced auditory activity generated by the long-term concentration by blind individuals on non visual cues to interact approximately with the environment.’ One plausible explanation of this use-dependent cortical reorganization involves either an unmasking of previously silent connections and / or sprouting of new neural elements from those that previously existed. Since our study did not involved measurement of head circumference, the influence of head size on MLR waves could not be elicited. The amplitude of Na-Pawave was showed no difference between males and females of both study and control groups in this study. This was supported by the study of (17) that also showed no statistically significant effects of age and sex on MLAEP amplitudes.

**Comparison of MLR waveforms between study and control groups**

A study on trained blind students done by N. K. Manjunath et al. (20) inferred that the peak latencies of the wave Pa and Nb were significantly reduced in congenitally blind subjects. Another study by Naveen et al. (21) have found that the Na and Pa middle latency auditory evoked potentials (MLAEP) were not significantly different in congenitally blind subjects when compared with the same values in normal sighted subjects but the Nb component of MLAEP had a significantly shorter latency in congenitally blind persons. No studies compared the wave latencies of No, Po and Na waves of MLR. It is apparent from our study that the blind students showed significantly shorter wave latencies for waves Po, Na and Pa among males and for the wave Pa among females. Since the wave Pa is the most consistent of all the MLR waves, significant reduction
in the latency of wave Pa is strongly an evidence of improved auditory activity in the study group subjects. The generator of the wave Pa is the dorso-medial part of Heschl gyrus. This proves that processing at this neural level occurs more efficiently in the blind. Since our study also showed a significant reduction in the No, Po and Na in males, the areas of medial geniculate body and polysensory nuclei of the thalamus which were the generators of these waves were also found to be increasingly active in blind subjects.

Conclusion

From our study it is evident that the blind subjects have enhanced attention and perception mechanisms compared to normal sighted subjects. Also the significant shorter latencies of MLR waves in male subjects of our study group suggest that the peripheral enhancement training makes a positive role in the increased auditory performance of blind people. This implies that sensory substitution prostheses can be developed based on these additional neural resources to perform tasks that partially compensate for the loss of vision.

Lacunae in this study

1. The existence of cross-modal plasticity is proven but the role of visual deprivation per se could not be satisfactorily explained because the study has not included analysis of MLR in untrained blind subjects.

2. MLR was not recorded from the occipital lobes for comparison with that recorded from the temporal lobes to explain the role of occipital cortex devoted to auditory function.

Future research

It will be more absolute if the auditory evoked potentials and Functional MRI or PET scan studies are compared simultaneously to assess the activity of neural connections in the occipital cortex during stimulation of auditory cortex with tone pips in order to prove the existence of cross-modal plasticity structurally and functionally.
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